UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/652,645	08/28/2003	Martin Raymond Scott	6770P002	1681
7590 05/04/2007 Lester J. Vincent			EXAMINER	
•	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR	BURROWES, LAWRENCE J		
Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire	Boulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90025			2616	
		·		
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/652,645	SCOTT ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LAWRENCE J. BURROWES	2616		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 August 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/28/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Europe on 08/30/2002 and 12/12/2002. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the 0220114.3 and 0229048.4 application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 Applicant is reminded of the proper format of the specification and contents.

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification .

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT.
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC.
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

Art Unit: 2616

(g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.

(h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

Page 3

- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) <u>The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement</u>: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.
- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject

Application/Control Number: 10/652,645 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."

- (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."
- given brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (h) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s): See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) <u>Claim or Claims</u>: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation.

Art Unit: 2616

There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).

- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).
- (I) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - In claim 1 line 7, the recitation of "the clock frequency" has no antecedent basis. Similar problem exists in claim 8.
 - In claim 2 line 3, the recitation of "the source frequency" has no antecedent basis. Similar problem exists in claim 9.

Art Unit: 2616

In claim 3 line 1, the recitation of "the value" has no antecedent basis. Similar problem exists in claim 10.

In claim 6 line 2, the recitation of "the average depth" has no antecedent basis.

Similar problem exists in claim 12.

Claim 4 is rejected because it depends on claim 3.

Claims 5 and 7 are rejected because they depend on claim 1.

Claim 11 is rejected because it depends on claim 8.

Claim 13 is rejected because it depends on claim 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jay et al (6,400,683) hereafter Jay.

For claims 1, 2, 5, 8, 9 and 11, Jay discloses recovering a clock signal for a TDM output from packets of TDM data which have been transmitted over a packet network, the system comprising: a packet buffer for storing incoming packets after transmission over the packet network (see column 8 line 15-16, incoming

Art Unit: 2616

cells are put into the buffer), a packet counter which maintains a packet count which is incremented as packets arrive at the packet buffer, and decremented each time a packet leaves the packet buffer (see column 8 line 16-17, when a cell is removed, the counter decrements and when a cell enters, the counter increments), and a clock control device which samples the packet count value and controls the clock frequency of the TDM output on the basis of the sampled packet count (see column 4 line 53-66 and column 8 lines 19-26, the buffer is observed and frequency is increased or decreased depending on the observed value);

a digitally controlled oscillator which controls the clock frequency of said TDM output (see column 4 lines 53-55, the local clock generator controls the clock frequency), and wherein the clock control device performs a clock control algorithm which determines the source frequency of a TDM clock at the source of the packets (see column 5 lines 52-67, the frequency to calculate the source is calculated on an as needed basis), and writes a new local frequency value to the digitally controlled oscillator so as to control the clock frequency of said TDM output (see column 5 lines 52-67, whenever a new frequency is calculated, the value is updated so that the packets will leave the buffer faster or slower as needed); and

a buffer depth control device arranged to make adjustments to the packet buffer, by adding or removing packets, based on at least a filtered reading of the depth of the packet buffer (see column 6 lines 30-64, the buffer level is measured and

either more packets are accepted or transmitted out of the buffer when the frequency is increased or decreased).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. / Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 3 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jay in view of Sorton et al (5,274,680) hereafter Sorton.

For claims 3 and 10, Jay disclose all the limitation of the claims invention except a packet counter filter arranged to filter the value of the packet count before the value of the packet count is sampled by the clock control device.

Sorton from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches a packet counter filter arranged to filter the value of the packet count before the value of the packet count is sampled by the clock control device (see 2 column lines 63-

68 - column 3 lines 1-4 and Figure 7 Box 21, the discriminator filters the packet marker or value that enter the system).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify/implement the filter of Sorton into the clock recovery device of Jay by connecting the filter to the buffer. The motivation to do so would be so that variable delay and fluctuations in the transmission medium can be controlled.

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jay in view of Sorton as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Mesecher et al (6,055,231) hereafter Mesecher.

For claim 4, Jay in view of Sorton disclose all the limitations of the claim invention except the filtering is carried out using a first order low pass filter.

Mesecher from the same or similar fields of endeavor teaches the filtering is carried out using a first order low pass filter (see column 8 lines 1-3, the filter is a first order low pass filter).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in that art at the time of the invention to modify/implement the filter of Mesecher into the combined system of Jay in view of Sorton. The motivation to do so would be so that the jitter can be attenuated before the packets enter the buffer.

11. Claims 6 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jay in view of Chao et al (5,204,882) hereafter Chao.

For claims 6 and 12, Jay disclose all the limitations of the claimed invention except the clock control device is arranged to control said clock frequency so as to maintain the average depth of the packet buffer at a predetermined value.

Chao from the same or similar fileds of endeavor teaches the clock control device is arranged to control said clock frequency so as to maintain the average depth of the packet buffer at a predetermined value (see column 2 lines 38-52, the phase locked loop averages the contents of the buffer to maintain a constant flow).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify/implement the phase locked loop device of Chao into the clock recovery device of Jay by connecting the loop device to the buffer. The motivation to do so would be so that the local clock and service clock of synchronized in an efficient way in order to handle variable bit rates.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 7 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kim (5859846) and Stadler et al (6026074).
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAWRENCE J. BURROWES whose telephone number is (571) 270-1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday 8am 2pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing F. Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LJB

WING CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER